

Overview

Chapter I

The Arbitration Agreement

Irene Welser/Gregor Klammer

Unilateral Arbitration Clauses

Johannes Gasser/Michael Nueber

Arbitration Of Foundation And Trust Disputes In Liechtenstein

Chapter II

The Arbitrator and the Arbitration Procedure

Monika Hartung

Transparency In Arbitration Institutions' Scrutiny Of Arbitrators

Simon Gabriel/Johannes Landbrecht

Of Confidentiality Orders And Confidentiality Offers

A Swiss Perspective On The Effect And Effectiveness

Of Measures To Protect Confidentiality

Sherlin Hsie-lien Tung/Brian Lin

More Transparency In International Commercial Arbitration:

To Have Or Not To Have?

Michael Nueber/Nada Ina Pauer

Arbitration As A Means Of Private Enforcement Of Competition Law –
Where Do We Stand?

Chapter III

The Courts

Natalie Y. Morris-Sharma

The Changing Landscape Of Arbitration:

UNCITRAL's Work On The Enforcement Of

Conciliated Settlement Agreements

Markus Schifferl/Venus Valentina Wong

Decisions Of The Austrian Supreme Court

On Arbitration In 2016 And 2017

Chapter IV
Investment Arbitration

Michele Potestà

Challenges And Prospects For The Establishment Of A Multilateral
Investment Court:
Quo Vadis Enforcement?

Chapter V
Recent Publications

Alfred Siwy

Recent Publications

Excursus: Brexit and Arbitration

Lucia Raimanová/Lucia Dulovičová

The Implications Of Brexit For International Arbitration

Chapter VI
The Vienna Repositioning Propositions

Nikolaus Pitkowitz/Alice Fremuth-Wolf

The Vienna Repositioning Propositions Repositioning Actors And Actions
In International Arbitration

Table of Contents

Introduction III
Overview V
The Editors and Authors XVII

Chapter I The Arbitration Agreement

Irene Welser/Gregor Klammer

Unilateral Arbitration Clauses 3

- I. George Washington’s Last Will 3
- II. Definition And Legal Framework 4
- III. Legal Nature And Basis 5
 - A. The Phrase “*In A Legally Valid Manner*” 6
 - B. “Direct-Basis-Approach” 6
 - C. Alternative Approaches And Theories 8
 - D. Conclusion 11
- IV. Reach Of Arbitration Clauses 11
 - A. Testamentary Arbitration Clauses 12
 - B. Arbitration Clauses In Foundation Deeds 13
 - C. Arbitration Clauses In Prize Offers 15
- V. Subjective Arbitrability 16
- VI. Formal Requirements 17
 - A. General Formal Requirements 17
 - B. Consumer Protection Provisions 18
- VII. Objective Arbitrability 19
 - A. Claims Under Inheritance Law 19
 - B. Claims In Connection With A Foundation 21
- VIII. International Inheritance Law 22
- IX. Conclusion 22

Johannes Gasser/Michael Nueber

Arbitration Of Foundation And Trust Disputes In Liechtenstein 25

- I. Introduction 25
- II. Liechtenstein Arbitration Act 2010 26
 - A. Introduction 26
 - B. Arbitrability 26
 - C. Arbitration Agreement 27
 - D. Relationship Between Courts And Arbitral Tribunals 27

E. Interim Measures	28
F. Annulment Claims	28
G. Miscellaneous	29
H. Liechtenstein Arbitration Act 2017	29
III. Liechtenstein Rules	30
A. Confidentiality	31
B. Procedure	31
IV. Foundation Disputes	32
A. Introduction	32
B. Admissibility Of Arbitration Clauses In Foundation Deeds	32
C. Arbitrability Of Foundation Disputes	33
D. Scope Of The Arbitration Clause	35
E. Consumer Protection	36
V. Trust Disputes	36
VI. Outlook	37

Chapter II
The Arbitrator and the Arbitration Procedure

Monika Hartung

Transparency In Arbitration Institutions’ Scrutiny Of Arbitrators	41
I. Introduction	41
II. Control Mechanisms	42
III. List Of Arbitrators	44
IV. Default Appointment	45
V. Default Appointment – Statistics	46
VI. Exclusion Of Arbitrator	48
VII. Exclusion Of Arbitrator – Statistics	49
VIII. Scrutiny Of The Arbitral Award	50
IX. Summing-Up	51

Simon Gabriel/Johannes Landbrecht

Of Confidentiality Orders And Confidentiality Offers

A Swiss Perspective On The Effect And Effectiveness Of Measures

To Protect Confidentiality	53
I. Confidentiality Expectations In International Arbitration	53
II. Confidentiality “Orders”: Implementing Confidentiality Expectations (Overview)	55
III. Pronouncements Regarding Existing Confidentiality Obligations	56
A. The Effect And Effectiveness Of Arbitral Orders	57
1. During The Arbitral Proceedings	57
2. After The End Of The Arbitral Proceedings	59
B. The Effect And Effectiveness Of Arbitral Awards	61
C. Recourse To The Swiss <i>juge d’appui</i> (Art 183 PILA)	62
IV. <i>Ad hoc</i> Creation Of A Protective Regime	62

Contents

A. By The Tribunal?	63
1. General Principles	63
2. Express Authorization To “Create” Confidentiality Obligations	65
B. By The Parties	66
1. Statutory Limits Regarding Protective Regimes?	66
2. On Confidentiality “Offers”	67
3. Protecting A Party That Is Requested To Produce Information	67
a) The Parties’ Responsibilities	67
b) The Tribunal’s Role	68
4. Protecting A Party That Needs To Rely On Information In Its Possession.	71
a) Factual Scenario	71
b) The Parties’ Responsibilities	72
c) The Tribunal’s Role	72
d) Differentiating Substantive Confidentiality Obligations And Mechanisms To (Merely) Implement Confidentiality Concerns?	73
V. Summary	75

Sherlin Hsie-lien Tung/Brian Lin

More Transparency In International Commercial Arbitration: To Have Or Not To Have?	77
I. Introduction	77
II. The General Advantages And Disadvantages Of Confidentiality In International Commercial Arbitration	78
A. Advantages Of Confidentiality In International Commercial Arbitration	78
1. A Key Characteristic And Selling Point Of Arbitration	78
2. A Driver Of Efficient Arbitral Proceedings	79
B. Criticisms Of Confidentiality In International Commercial Arbitration	81
1. Accountability Of Arbitrators	82
2. Consistency In Arbitral Awards	83
3. Development In An Autonomous Legal Order	84
III. Arbitral Institutions And Confidentiality	84
A. Confidentiality Of The Arbitral Proceeding To Third Parties ...	85
B. Confidentiality Of Arbitral Institution Actions And Decisions ..	89
IV. Confidentiality In <i>Ad Hoc</i> Arbitrations	89
V. Scope Of Transparency In International Commercial Arbitrations ..	91
A. Narrow Scope Of Transparency	92
B. Wider Scope Of Transparency	93
VI. Conclusion	94

Michael Nueber/Nada Ina Pauer

Arbitration As A Means Of Private Enforcement Of Competition Law – Where Do We Stand?	95
I. Introduction	95
II. Relationship Between EU Competition Law And Commercial Arbitration	96
III. Private Enforcement Of Competition Law	103
IV. Arbitrating Competition Law Disputes	107
V. Scope Of The Arbitration Agreement	112
VI. The Damages Directive And The Arbitration Of Competition Law ..	115
VII. Conclusion	119

**Chapter III
The Courts**

Natalie Y. Morris-Sharma

The Changing Landscape Of Arbitration: UNCITRAL's Work On The Enforcement Of Conciliated Settlement Agreements	123
I. Introduction	123
II. UNCITRAL's Earlier Work On The 2002 Model Law On International Commercial Conciliation	125
A. Views For And Against A Harmonized Approach To Enforcement	126
B. Options Considered For A Harmonized Approach	127
III. UNCITRAL's Current Work On The Enforcement Of Conciliated Settlement Agreements	129
A. The Scope And Application Of The New UNCITRAL Instruments	130
B. The Necessary Involvement Of A Conciliator And A Conciliation Process	132
C. Grounds For Refusing To Grant Relief	133
IV. Whether Consent Awards Would Be Rendered Obsolete	134
A. Consent Awards As A Vehicle For The Enforcement Of Conciliated Settlement Agreements	135
B. Focussing On A Suite Of Options	137
V. Conclusion	138

Markus Schifferl/Venus Valentina Wong

Decisions Of The Austrian Supreme Court On Arbitration In 2016 And 2017	139
I. Pending Arbitration	139
A. Facts Of The Case	139
B. Decision Of The Supreme Court	140

C. Additional Remarks	141
II. Procedural Public Order	142
A. Facts Of The Case	142
B. Decision Of The Supreme Court	142
C. Additional Remarks	143
III. Arbitration Before CIETAC Not Infeasible	144
A. Facts Of The Case	144
B. Decision Of The Supreme Court	145
C. Additional Remarks	146
IV. Arbitration Agreement, Choice-Of-Law And European Union Law	147
A. Facts Of The Case	147
B. Decision of the Supreme Court	147
C. Additional Remarks	148
V. Sports Arbitration	149
A. Facts Of The Case	149
B. Decision Of The Supreme Court	150
C. Additional Remarks	151
VI. Award Set Aside By Wrong Court	151
A. Facts Of The Case	151
B. Decision Of The Supreme Court	152
C. Additional Remarks	153

**Chapter IV
Investment Arbitration**

Michele Potestà

Challenges And Prospects For The Establishment Of A Multilateral Investment Court: Quo Vadis Enforcement?	157
I. Introductory Remarks	157
II. Enforcement Of Decisions Of A Future Multilateral Investment Court	160
A. Characterization Of The ITI: Court Or Arbitral Tribunal?	161
B. Enforcement In Contracting States V. In Non-Contracting States	168
C. Would ITI Awards Fall Within The Scope Of The New York Convention?	170
1. Would An ITI Award Be An “Award” Under The New York Convention?	171
a) “Permanent Arbitral Body”	171
b) A-National Award	174
2. Are The Requirements For An “Arbitration Agreement” Under The New York Convention Met?	175
3. Would The New York Convention Apply To The ITI With A Built-In Appeal?	176
III. Conclusive Remarks	178

Chapter V

Recent Publications

Alfred Siwy

Recent Publications 181

Excursus: Brexit and Arbitration

Lucia Raimanová/Lucia Dulovičová

The Implications Of Brexit For International Arbitration 189

 I. Introduction 189

 II. UK’s Current Vision Of Brexit 190

 A. UK-EU Relationship 191

 B. UK’s Relationship With Non-EU States 193

 III. Potential Implications For International Commercial Arbitration ... 194

 A. Recognition And Enforcement Of Arbitral Awards 194

 B. Choice Of The Seat Of Arbitration 196

 1. Non-EU Parties (Including UK Parties) 196

 2. EU Parties 198

 a) EU/EU Party 198

 b) EU/UK Or Other Non-EU Party 199

 3. Revival Of Anti-Suit Injunctions 199

 IV. Potential Implications On Investment Arbitration 201

 A. Intra-EU IIAs 202

 1. Current Situation 202

 2. Possible Post-Brexit Developments 204

 B. External IIAs 204

 1. EU’s IIAs 205

 2. UK’s IIAs 205

 V. Conclusion 205

Chapter VI

The Vienna Repositioning Propositions

Nikolaus Pitkowitz/Alice Fremuth-Wolf

The Vienna Repositioning Propositions

Repositioning Actors And Actions In International Arbitration 209

 I. Introduction 209

 II. Repositioning Actors And Actions In Arbitration 211

 III. World Café Reports 215

Laurent Hirsch/Katharina Kitzberger

 A. Repositioning Arbitrators 215

 1. Introduction 215

 2. Control Instruments And Their Effectiveness 216

 3. Conclusion – More Control Over Arbitrators? 218

 4. Proposition 218

<i>Martin Magal/Barbara Sesser</i>	
B. Repositioning (The Liability Regime Of) Arbitral Institutions . . .	218
1. Introduction	218
2. Liability Regime Of Institutions	219
3. The Relationship Between The Actors In Arbitration And Its Influence On The Applicable Law	220
a) Relationship Between Arbitrators And Parties	220
b) Relationship Between Institution And Parties	220
c) Relationship Between Institution And Arbitrator(s)	221
4. Defining The Prerequisites Of An Institution's Liability	221
5. Defining An Institution's Duty Of Care	222
6. Conclusion	223
<i>Dietmar W. Prager/Philip Exenberger</i>	
C. Repositioning Counsel	223
1. Counsel Often Fail To Conduct Arbitrations Efficiently	224
2. Tribunals Can Contribute To "Repositioning" Counsel	224
3. The Parties Can Contribute To "Repositioning" Counsel	226
<i>Bianca Vogt/Lukas Wedl</i>	
D. Repositioning Tribunals' Secretaries	227
1. Introduction	227
2. Proposition 1: No "Secret Secretary"	228
3. Proposition 2: The Tribunal's Discretion To Appoint A Secretary	228
4. Proposition 3: The Secretary's Role Might Go Beyond The Solely Administrative	228
<i>Irina Paliashvili/Shiva Ghahremani</i>	
E. Repositioning Experts	229
<i>Karl Pörnbacher/Philipp Schwarz</i>	
F. Quality In Arbitration	233
1. Introduction	233
2. What Are The Objectives Of Arbitration?	234
3. What Are Objective Quality Criteria For Arbitration?	234
a) The Proceedings	234
b) The Award	234
4. How Can Quality In Arbitration Be Measured And Improved?	236
5. Conclusion	236
<i>Anke Sessler/Thomas Herbst</i>	
G. Made to Measure Vs. Pret-à-Porter	237
1. Our Repositioning Proposition	237
2. Discussion Report	237
a) Pros And Cons Of Soft Laws	237

Contents

b) Implementation Of Soft Laws	238
c) Conclusion	239
<i>Beata Gessel/Niamh Leinwather</i>	
H. Repositioning Cost Budgeting	239
1. Introducing The “Jackson Reform”	239
2. Could The “Jackson Reform” Be Applicable To Arbitration?	241
a) Appropriate Time In The Proceedings To Discuss Cost Budgeting	241
b) The Powers Of The Arbitrator To Implement Cost Budgeting	242
3. Winners And Losers Of Cost Budgeting In Arbitration	243
4. A Double-Edged Sword – Danger Of Incurring Even More Costs In Arbitration	244
5. Outlook	244
<i>Duarte G. Henriques/Nefeli Lamprou</i>	
I. Third Party Funding	245
1. Introduction	245
2. Defining Third Party Funding	245
3. Ethical Considerations And The Legitimacy Of TPF – Interference Of Human Rights?	246
4. Transparency: To Disclose Or Not To Disclose?	248
5. Awarding Costs: Who Pays The Bill At The End Of The Day?	248
6. Security For Costs	249
7. Control Over The Case	250
8. Future Regulation – Conclusive Remarks	250
<i>Gefion Hauer/Ulrike Paukner/Catrice Gayer</i>	
J. Small Claims And Accelerated Proceedings	251
1. Opt-In Or Opt-Out?	252
a) Advantages	252
b) Risks And Concerns	252
2. “Small” Claims Versus Complexity – Is A Threshold An Advisable Solution?	253
3. The Pro-Active Arbitrator: Early Evaluation Of Merits Of A Claim – Explicit Power In Institutional Rules Is Needed	254
4. Reduction of Arbitrators’ Fees In Expedited Proceedings?	254
5. Key Messages	255
6. Conclusion	256
<i>Sonja Otenhajmer/Kay-Jannes Wegner</i>	
K. Emergency Relief In Arbitration Proceedings	256

Contents

Iain Quirk/Victoria Pernt

L. The Role Of Transparency In Repositioning Arbitration 260

 1. Introduction 260

 2. Tackling Transparency Questions: Divide And Conquer 261

 3. More Organisational Transparency 261

 4. More Legal Transparency 261

 5. Lesser Need For Transparency Of Proceedings 262

 6. Conclusion 263

Dirk Buschle/Tobias Schaffner

M. Investor State Disputes And ADR 263

Index 267