

Table of Contents

Introduction III
 Overview V
 The Editors and Authors XVII

Chapter I

The Arbitration Agreement and Arbitrability 1

Martin Zahariev

Mission (Im)Possible: Where GDPR Meets Commerical Arbitration ... 3

- I. Principle Applicability of GDPR Towards Arbitration 3
 - A. GDPR’s Material Scope 3
 - 1. Positive Precondition 4
 - 2. Negative Preconditions 4
 - 3. Additional Considerations 6
 - a) GDPR’s Provisions 7
 - b) National practice 7
 - B. GDPR’s Territorial Scope 9
 - 1. Establishment Criterion 9
 - 2. Targeting Criterion 10
- II. Main Consequences of GDPR’s Applicability Towards Commercial Arbitration 11
 - A. The Role of Arbitral Institutions and Arbitrators from Data Protection Perspective 11
 - 1. Arbitral Institutions 11
 - 2. Arbitrators 13
 - B. Key Obligations 14
 - 1. Keeping Records of Processing Activities 14
 - 2. Ensuring Security 15
 - 3. Regulating Relations with Data Processors 17
- III. Conclusions 19

Carmen Sfeir Backsmann

**Enhancing the use of Arbitration through Dispute System Design:
 The Brazilian Case** 21

- I. Introduction 21
- II. Access to Justice in Brazil – The Courts Situation 21
- III. What is Dispute System Design? 24
- IV. The Importance of DSD for ADR’s and Especially for Arbitration ... 28

V. Arbitration in Brazil	31
VI. Conclusion	35
Chapter II	
The Arbitration Agreement and Arbitrability	37
<i>Edna Sussman/James Lawrence</i>	
Mock Arbitrations for Developing a Winning Case:	
Tips and Strategies	39
I. Introduction	39
II. The Survey	40
III. The Genesis of Mock Arbitrations	41
IV. Mock Arbitrations Appear to be Spreading from the United States to International Arbitration	42
V. Mock Arbitrations are not a Widely Used Tool Even in the United States	43
VI. The Benefits of Conducting a Mock Arbitration	44
VII. First Steps: Designing the Process	46
VIII. Mock Arbitrations Do Not Have to be Very Costly	47
IX. Using Consultants to Facilitate the Mock Arbitration	48
X. Should Mock Arbitrators from Outside the Initiating Firm be Retained?	50
XI. When to Conduct the Mock Arbitration	52
XII. Retention of the Mock Arbitrators, Disclosure Obligations, and Confidentiality	53
XIII. Who Represents the Parties in the Mock Arbitration and How They Interact	53
XIV. While Real Witnesses are Sometimes Presented There are Special Considerations	54
XV. How Much Information and Preparation Should the Mock Arbitrators Conduct?	55
XVI. Should the Client be Present at the Mock Arbitration?	55
XVII. How is Feedback Gathered from the Mock Arbitrators?	56
XVIII. Are the Costs of the Mock Arbitration Recoverable?	57
XIX. Conclusion	58
<i>Petri Taivalkoski/Oskar Toivonen</i>	
Facilitation of Settlements by Arbitrators	
Review of Methods and Techniques	59
I. Introduction	59
II. The Added Value of Different Facilitation Methods in Assisting the Parties to Reach an Amicable Settlement	60
A. Interest-based Approach	60
B. Rights-based/Evaluative Approach	62

III. Utilization of Settlement Facilitation Techniques by Arbitrators in an International Arbitration	63
A. Interest-based Methods of Settlement Facilitation	64
B. Rights-based/Evaluative Methods of Settlement Facilitation	66
IV. How Can the Parties to an Arbitration Make Most of Settlement Facilitation Methods and Techniques?	69
A. Preparing a Comprehensive Case Assessment	69
B. Determining the Best Means for the Particular Case	70

Arne Fuchs/Lisa Richman

Third-party Funding in International Arbitration:

A Comparative Analysis	73
I. Introduction	73
II. England and Wales	74
A. Regulatory Framework	74
B. Admissibility of Third-Party Funding	74
C. Practical Implications for the Arbitration	75
1. Disclosure Obligations and Privilege	75
2. Security for Costs	76
3. Cost Orders	77
a) General Principles	77
b) Cost Orders against the Third-Party Funder	78
D. (Other) Notable Issues	78
III. France	78
A. Regulatory Framework	78
B. Admissibility of Third-Party Funding	79
C. Practical Implications for the Arbitration	81
1. Disclosure Obligations and Privilege	81
2. Security for Costs	81
3. Cost Orders	82
a) General Principles	82
b) Cost Orders against the Third-Party Funder	82
IV. China	83
A. Regulatory Framework	83
B. Admissibility of Third-Party Funding	84
C. Practical Implications for the Arbitration	85
1. Disclosure Obligations and Privilege	85
2. Security for Costs	86
3. Cost Orders	87
a) General Principles	87
b) Cost Orders against the Third-Party Funder	87
V. Germany	87
A. Regulatory Framework	87
B. Admissibility of Third-Party Funding	88
C. Practical Implications for the Arbitration	89

1. Disclosure Obligations and Privilege	89
2. Security for Costs	89
3. Cost Orders	90
VI. Austria	90
A. Regulatory Framework	90
B. Admissibility of Third-Party Funding	91
C. Practical Implications for the Arbitration	91
1. Disclosure Obligations and Privilege	91
2. Security for Costs	92
3. Cost Orders	92
VII. United States	92
A. Regulatory Framework	92
B. Admissibility of Third-Party Funding	93
C. Implications for Arbitrations	94
1. Disclosure Obligations and Attorney-Client Privilege	94
2. Security for Costs	96
3. Ethical Responsibilities to Clients	97
D. Notable Decisions	97
E. Enforcement of Awards	98
VIII. Conclusion	99

Alexey Anischenko/Valeria Dubeshka

<i>Iura Novit Arbiter</i> in International and Belarusian Practice of Commercial Arbitration	101
I. Introduction	101
II. Background	103
III. <i>Iura Novit Arbiter</i> in International and Belarusian Practice	105
A. Legal Issues and Legal Provisions	108
B. Relief Sought	110
C. Legal Authorities	112
IV. Conclusion	113

Irene Welser/Samuel Mimmagh

Production of Physical Evidence in International Arbitration – A No Go?	115
I. Introduction	115
II. Evidence Production in Arbitral Proceedings	116
III. International Rules and Guidelines on the Production of Physical Evidence	120
IV. Inspection Procedures: An Adequate Substitute for the Exchange of Physical Evidence?	127
V. How Should Physical Evidence Be Requested?	130
VI. Potential Consequences of Restricting Access to Physical Evidence ..	132
VII. Conclusion	134

Howard N. Rosen/Gigi D’Souza

Making Effective Use of Experts	137
I. Introduction	137
II. Party-Appointed Experts and Tribunal-Appointed Experts	138
A. The Two Traditions	138
B. The Best of Both Worlds?	139
III. Recent Update: ConocoPhillips v. Venezuela Award	141
A. Background	141
B. Tribunal’s Observations on the Experts’ Evidence	141
1. Tribunal states that experts’ opinions are insufficiently supported by evidence	141
2. Tribunal states that experts’ arguments are confusing and unhelpful	142
3. Tribunal disregards both parties’ experts’ conclusions and must make its own adjustments	143
4. Tribunal’s suggested measures to narrow the gap between the experts	143
C. Summary	144
IV. Rules Governing Expert Witnesses	144
A. Jurisdictional Rules	144
B. Rules and Practice in Arbitration	148
1. Arbitration Rules	148
2. Expert Declaration	149
3. The Tribunal’s Role as “Gatekeepers”	150
C. Professional Body Rules and Financial Experts	152
V. Opposing Forces on the Experts (Behavioural Issues)	153
A. Overview	153
1. Duty to the Tribunal	154
2. Duty to Counsel	155
3. Duty to the Client	156
4. Duty to the Opposing Expert	157
B. Counsel-Expert Communication	157
VI. Expert Meetings and Joint Reports	160
VII. Our Personal Experience as Damages Experts	161
VIII. Suggestions for the Future	162
Appendix	167

Florian Haugeneder

Party-Appointed and Tribunal-Appointed Experts in International Arbitration	177
I. Introduction	177
II. Choosing Between Party-Appointed and Tribunal-Appointed Experts	178
A. Timing of the Choice	178

B. Criteria for the Choice	179
C. The Sachs Protocol	181
III. The Arbitral Procedure Involving Experts	182
A. Determination of the Profile and Selection of the Expert	182
1. Party-Appointed Experts	182
2. Tribunal-Appointed Experts	185
B. The Expert's Terms of Reference	187
1. Party-Appointed Experts	187
2. Tribunal-Appointed Experts	188
C. The Conduct of the Investigation	189
1. Party-Appointed Experts	189
2. Tribunal-Appointed Experts	190
D. The Expert Report	192
1. Party-Appointed Experts	192
2. Tribunal-Appointed Experts	193
E. Scrutiny of the Expert Report and Oral Examination	194
1. Party-Appointed Experts	194
2. Tribunal-Appointed Experts	196
IV. Conclusions	197

Nikolaus Pitkowitz

Confidentiality and Protection of Confidentiality

in Arbitration	199
I. Introductory Remarks	199
II. The Public as Part of the Jurisdiction	199
A. Confidentiality as Part of Arbitration	201
B. Distinguishing Confidentiality and Privacy	203
III. Privacy	204
A. Arbitration Rules	205
B. Investment Arbitration	207
IV. Confidentiality	209
A. Confidentiality Obligation of the Parties	210
1. Comparative Legal Overview	210
2. Situation in Austria	213
3. DIS – German Institution of Arbitration as of March 1, 2018	217
4. ICC – International Court of Arbitration as of March 1, 2017	218
5. VIAC – Vienna Rules as of January 1, 2018	218
6. SCC – Stockholm Chamber of Commerce as of January 1, 2017	219
7. SIAC – Singapore International Arbitration Centre 2016	219
8. Swiss Rules of International Arbitration as of June 1, 2012	220
9. Further Arbitration Rules	221

10. UNCITRAL ARBITRATION RULES 2013	224
11. UNCITRAL Notes on Organizing Arbitral Proceedings (2016)	224
12. IBA (International Bar Association) Rules for the Taking of Evidence in International Arbitration	225
13. Rules on the Efficient Conduct of Proceedings in International Arbitration (Prague Rules) 2018	226
B. Confidentiality Obligation of Experts	227
C. Confidentiality Obligation of Witnesses	227
D. Confidentiality Obligation of Arbitrators	227
E. Confidentiality of the Arbitral Institution	229
V. Outlook and Recommendations	229

Chapter III

The Award and the Courts	231
---------------------------------------	-----

Maciej Kochanowski

Foreseeability of Damage under CISG Applied in International Arbitration – New Solutions to Old Problems?	233
I. Introduction	233
II. Foreseeability of Damage under CISG	235
III. Problems with the Application of the Foreseeability of Damage under CISG	239
IV. Potential Solutions	243
A. Identification of the Foreseeability as a Point of Dispute	243
B. Arbitrators’ Role	244
1. One or More Arbitrators	246
2. Arbitrators’ Nationality and Legal Background	247
3. Arbitrator’s Professional Background	250
C. Application of Technology – Artificial Intelligence	256
V. Arbitral Awards on Foreseeability	257
A. General Overview	257
B. Potential Amendments	261
VI. Conclusion	262

Manuel Conthe

Time-travel Riddles in the Assessment of Damages	265
I. Riddle # 1: Subsequent Remedial Measures	266
II. Damages and the DCF Model	271
III. Riddle # 2: “The Political Risk Conundrum”	273
IV. Riddle # 3: The “Excessive Return”	280
V. Riddle # 4: The “Financial Roundtrip”	282
VI. Conclusion	287

Lisa Beisteiner

To Be or Not to Be an Arbitrator – On the Nature of Emergency Arbitration	289
I. Introduction and Focus	289
A. The Question: Emergency Arbitration as Arbitration Proper? ...	289
B. Main Features of Emergency Arbitration – an Overview	294
II. The Concepts of “Arbitration” and “Arbitrator” under Austrian Law	295
A. What is “Arbitration”?	295
B. Judicial versus Contractual Dispute Resolution	298
C. Intention of the Parties	299
III. Discussion: Emergency Arbitration as Arbitration?	301
A. Mandate Limited to Interim Relief	302
1. General	302
2. Can an “Arbitrator’s” Mandate be Limited to Interim Relief?	303
B. The EA’s Power to Render Interim Relief is not Equivalent to that of the Arbitral Tribunal	306
1. Lack of Finality of the EA Decision	306
2. Same Substantive Power to Order Interim Relief?	311
C. EA does not Exclude State Court Jurisdiction	311
D. Further Aspects of the Party Agreement on EA (under ICC Rules)	313
1. EA as a Pre-Arbitral Procedure in Multi-tier Dispute Resolution Clause	313
2. Inherently no Selection of Arbitrator by Parties	315
3. Fast Proceedings and Procedural Guarantees – an Inherent Conflict?	315
4. Consequence of Non-Compliance: Breach of Contract	319
5. EA has a “Place of Proceedings”	320
6. EA holds “Kompetenz-Kompetenz”	321
7. Perceived Need and Party Intention: “Enforceable order”? ...	323
E. One Single Arbitration: The Cure?	324
IV. Conclusion: Emergency Arbitrator is not an Arbitrator	326
A. General	326
B. Qualification of Emergency Arbitrator	327
C. Legal Consequences of Qualification as Contractual Adjudicator	329
V. Outlook	331

Mauro Rubino-Sammartano

Can a “May” Become a “Must”? (The New York Convention 1958 revisited)	333
I. A Quick Survey of the Opposite Doctrines	333
II. How a “May” Can Become a “Must”	337

Alice Meissner

Enforcement of a CIETAC Arbitral Award with Vienna as Place of Oral Hearing	341
I. Introduction	341
II. Place of Arbitration vs. Place of Oral Hearing	342
A. Place of Arbitration according to Art. 7 CIETAC Rules	344
B. Place of Oral Hearing according to Art. 36 CIETAC Rules	345
III. Characterisation of an Arbitral Award with Place of Oral Hearing in Vienna under Chinese law	346
A. Differentiation of Arbitral Awards	346
B. Characterisation of an Arbitral Award with Place of Oral Hearing in Vienna	348
IV. Enforcement of a Foreign and Foreign-related Arbitral Award	350
A. Competent Court for the Enforcement Proceedings	350
B. Grounds for Non-Enforcement	352
C. The Prior Reporting System	352
V. Conclusion	353

Markus Schifferl/Alexander Zojer

Decisions of the Austrian Supreme Court on Arbitration in 2018 and 2019	355
I. Enforceability of an Arbitral Award	356
A. Facts of the Case	356
B. Decision of the Supreme Court	356
C. Additional Remarks	358
II. Arbitral Award vs. Expert Determination	359
A. Facts of the Case	359
B. Decision of the Supreme Court	359
C. Additional Remarks	361
III. Application to Set Aside an Arbitral Award	362
A. Facts of the Case	362
B. Decision of the Supreme Court	362
C. Additional Remarks	363
IV. Challenge to Arbitrator	364
A. Facts of the Case	364
B. Decision of the Supreme Court	364
C. Additional Remarks	366
V. Unreasoned Arbitral Award, Right to be Heard, Ordre Public	367
A. Facts of the Case	367
B. Decision of the Supreme Court	368
C. Additional Remarks	369

Chapter IV	
Science and Arbitration	373
<i>Nikolaus Pitkowicz/Elisabeth Vanas-Metzler/Anna Katharina Radschek and the 25 contributors</i>	
The Vienna Propositions for Innovative and Scientific Methods and Tools in International Arbitration	375
I. Introduction	375
II. Seven Propositions for the Development and Use of Scientific Mechanisms in Arbitration	377
II. World Café Reports	382
<i>Katharina Brückner/Florian Ettmayer</i>	
A. Methods of Psychology and Other Sciences in Witness Preparation	382
1. Introduction	382
2. What Effect has the Preparation of a Witness on his Recollection of Events?	383
3. How Do You Assess: Fidgeting, Shifting Posture, Poor Eye Contact and Stammering of a Witness?	385
4. Have You Ever Prepared a Witness on Content and Potentially Influenced His Testimony?	386
5. Do You Consider the Rules On Witness Preparation Adequate in Your Jurisdiction?	387
6. Concluding Remarks	388
<i>Philipp Duncker/Roxanne de Jesus</i>	
B. Unconscious Bias in International Arbitration – What to be aware of	389
1. Introduction	389
2. Primacy vs. Recency	389
3. Anchoring	390
4. Unringing the Bell	391
5. Hindsight Bias	392
6. Framing	392
7. Conclusion	392
<i>Caroline Bühler/Robert Wachter</i>	
C. Psychology During Witness (Cross)-Examination	393
1. How to Deal With Expert Witnesses	394
2. Credibility of the Witness	395
3. Mock Cross-Examination: Yes or No?	396
4. Language	397
5. Final remarks	397

Jonathan Barnett/Nina Pichler

D. Psychological Aspects in Selecting and Advertising the Place of Arbitration in International Arbitration Location! Location! Location!	
What Makes a Place Attractive for Arbitration?	398
1. Selection of the Place of Arbitration: Theory vs. Practice	398
a) Theory	398
b) Practice	399
c) Psychological Aspects	399
(1) Habit: “Copy and Paste”	399
(2) Compromise and Neutrality	400
(3) Intuition and Experiences	400
(4) Confusion	401
2. Advertising Places of Arbitration	401
a) Reports and Statistics	401
b) Advertising an Arbitral Institution	402
c) Advertising a Place of Arbitration	403
d) Conclusion	404

Amelie Huber-Starlinger/Natascha Tunkel

E. The Use of Mediative Tools in Arbitration	404
1. Introduction	404
2. Distinguishing Mediation and Arbitration	405
3. Mediative Tools	405
4. Caucus	407
a) Definition	407
b) Is Caucus Permissible in Arbitration?	407
c) Safeguards when Conducting Caucus in Arbitration	408
d) Conclusion	410

Miklós Boronkay/Philip Exenberger

F. Blockchain, Smart Contracts and Arbitration Overrated Hype or Chance for the Arbitration Community?	411
1. Participants	411
2. Introduction to Blockchain & Smart Contracts	411
3. Chances and Risks for Smart Contract-related Arbitration	412
a) A Solution Without a Problem?	412
b) Potential Applications	413
c) Arbitration and Blockchain	414
d) Costs and risks	415
e) Enforcement Issues	416
4. Outlook	418

Till Alexander Backsmann/Josef Fröhlingsdorf

G. Innovative Information and Communication Technology as Tools for Cost Efficient and Reasonable Conduct of International Arbitral Proceedings	
Cultural Challenges and Conflicts	419
1. Introduction	419
2. Handling of Documents and Information	419
3. Proceeding	421
4. Hearing	422
5. Decision and Award	423
6. Problems, Risk and Challenges in the Use of IT	425
7. Outlook Into the Future	426
8. Practical Recommendation for the Use of New IT and AI	426

Sebastian Feiler/Christof Siefarth

H. “Please Consider the Environment Before Conducting this Arbitration” Towards Fully-Digitized Arbitral Proceedings	427
1. Arbitration and the Patagonian Forest	427
2. Electronic Court Filings and Digitized Arbitration Proceedings – the Status Quo	427
3. Use of Technology in Arbitral Proceedings	430
4. Conclusion	431

Judith Knieper/Irene Ng (Huang Ying)

I. Drafting an UNCITRAL Arbitration Instrument: An Inclusive and Universal Discussion	431
1. Introduction	431
2. About UNCITRAL	432
3. Involvement of External Stakeholders	433
a) National Correspondents: Installing a Network	433
b) Call for Further Efforts in Reaching Out	434
4. The Usefulness of UNCITRAL’s Publications	435
a) Greater Connectivity of UNCITRAL’s Databases	435
b) Call for Contributions	436
c) The Feedback Portal	436
5. The Access to Research Materials	437
a) A Need for Greater Awareness	437
b) Information (Or Too Much of It)	438
6. Findings	438
7. Appendix	439

Georg Adler/Radu Giosan

**J. Science and Technology in International Arbitration:
But to What End
Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning –
A Table Discussion Summary** 441

1. Introduction 441
2. Propositions Explored by the Table Discussions 442
 - a) Predictability 442
 - b) Rationality 444
 - c) Legal Sources of the Arbitrator’s Tools 444
 - d) Scrutiny of Science & Conceptual Limitations 445
3. The Moderators’ Observations 446
 - a) Breakdown of the Discourse 446
 - b) Focus on the Client 446
4. Conclusion 447

Nadine S. Pfiffner

**K. The Use of Analytical Tools to Determine Case Strategy
A Necessity for the Time- and Cost-Efficient Management
and Resolution of Complex Disputes** 447

1. Introduction 447
2. Early Case Assessment 448
 - a) A Conflict Management Process 448
 - b) Factors to Be Considered 449
 - (1) Gathering of information about the dispute 449
 - (2) Identification of client’s and opponent’s business interests 449
 - (3) Analysis of the forum and the opponent’s side 449
 - (4) Legal analysis of case and determination of strategy 450
 - c) Current Practice 450
 - d) Key Benefits 450
3. Decision Tree Analysis 450
 - a) Calculating Probabilities and Settlement Amounts 450
 - (1) Basic decision tree analysis 451
 - (2) Advanced decision tree analysis 451
 - b) Possible Fields of Application 452
 - (1) By parties and counsel 452
 - (2) By the arbitral tribunal 452
 - (3) By litigation funders 452
 - c) Key Benefits 453
 - (1) Structured and comprehensive analysis of case 453
 - (2) Rational estimation of litigation risks 453
 - (3) Enhanced settlement discussions 453
 - d) Risks 454

(1) Output only as good as input	454
(2) A tool amongst others	455
4. Big Data Predictive Analysis	455
a) Application in Law	455
b) Possible Benefits	456
c) Risks	456
(1) Amount and quality of data	456
(2) Transparency and control of process	457
5. Conclusion	457

Stephan Karall/Brian Samuel Oiwoh

L. Artificial Intelligence and New Technologies – Are They Suitable to Address the Shortcomings of Human Arbitrators?	458
1. Introductory Remarks	458
2. Will AI and New Technologies Help to Overcome Human Bias?	459
3. Will New Technologies Increase the Value of Witness Testimony?	462

Petra Butler/Christina Geissler

M. Contractual Realities of SMEs – Access to Commercial Justice	466
1. Introduction	466
2. Experts’ Assessment vs Reality	468
a) Regional Risk	468
b) Minimizing Risk	469
c) Dispute Resolution	470
3. Conclusion	475

Philippa Charles

The Hofstede Dimensions in International Arbitration: How Understanding National Characteristics Improves Arbitral Harmony	477
I. Introduction	477
II. Ironing Out Cultural Difference Via Regulatory Alignment	478
III. The Hofstede Dimensions	480
A. Power Distance Index	481
B. Individualism versus Collectivism	482
C. Masculinity versus Femininity	482
D. Uncertainty Avoidance Index	483
E. Long Term Orientation versus Short Term Normative Orientation	484
F. Indulgence versus Restraint	485

IV. Implications of the Hofstede Dimensions for Arbitrations	485
A. Selection of the Tribunal Chair	486
B. Approaches to Interim Decision Making	488
V. Cultural Dynamics in Communication:	
Low-Context v High-Context	489
A. Persuasiveness	491
B. Witness Evidence	492
C. Principles-first/Facts first Approaches to Legal Issues	493
VI. Conclusions: Harnessing Cultural Dynamics to Best Effect	494

Giuditta Cordero-Moss

Psychology in Arbitration: How Your “Legal Imprinting” Impacts on Contract Construction	497
I. Introduction	497
II. Does Arbitration Autonomy Permit to Avoid the Influence from Legal Culture?	499
III. Can the Parties Restrict the Influence from Legal Culture?	502
IV. The Impact of Legal Culture on the Construction of the Contract . . .	505
V. Conclusion	510

Cecilia Carrara

The Impact of Cognitive Science and Artificial Intelligence on Arbitral Proceedings	
Ethical issues	513
I. Social Behavioral Science in International Arbitration	513
II. The Implementation of Artificial Intelligence Tools in the Context of Arbitration Proceedings	519
A. Search-Tools for Legal Research	522
B. Search-tools for the Selection of Arbitrators	523
C. Search-tools for the Selection of Experts and Witnesses and AI Used as Witness-equivalent	523
D. AI Tools in the Adjudication Phase	525
III. Legal Principles and Guidelines	528
IV. Conclusions	529

Chapter V	
Investment Arbitration.	531

Olga Kuchmiienko

How does the Change in Effective Control over Territory Influence the Application of the Ukraine-Russia and Other BITS? To BIT or NOT TO BIT?	533
I. Introduction	533
II. Application of the Ukraine-Russia BIT	534

A. Outlook of the Ukrainian Cases	534
B. Arbitration Agreement – Ready, Steady, Go	535
C. Temporal and Territorial Scope of the BIT	536
1. Territorial Scope of the Ukraine-Russia BIT	538
a) BIT Interpretation	538
aa) Ordinary Meaning	539
bb) The Context of the BIT	540
cc) Object and Purpose of the BIT	541
dd) Good Faith	542
b) Illegally Annexed Territories as Part of the State Territory in Terms of BIT	544
aa) The Public International Law Perspective	544
bb) Crimea as Part of Russia From BIT Perspective	547
(1) The “Moving treaty frontier” Rule	547
(2) Non-contradiction to Non-recognition Principle	548
(3) Investment Tribunals Interpret the BIT to Decide Investment Disputes	550
c) Legal Consequences Based on the Territorial Scope of the BIT	551
2. The temporal Scope of the Ukraine-Russia BIT	552
a) VCLT Interpretation	552
aa) Ordinary Meaning	553
bb) Context	553
cc) Object and Purpose	553
dd) Good Faith	554
b) Temporal Scope of the Ukraine-Russia BIT in Dates	554
c) The date from which the investor is covered by the BIT protection: (a) time of the investment; (b) factual control or (c) official statement of Russia?	556
IV. Application of Other BITs	558
A. Inspiration	558
B. Change in Effective Control Over Territory – the Beginning of Another BIT’s Application?	558
V. Conclusion	560

Alexander Karl

Security for Costs as a Default in Investment Arbitration: A Workable Protection for States when Third-Party Funders are involved?	563
I. Introduction	563
II. Overview of Current Legal Framework and Practice of Security of Costs in International Investment Arbitration	565
A. What is Security for Costs and Do Tribunals Have Power to Order Security For Costs	565

B.	Requirements for Security for Costs and Current Practice by Investment Tribunals	567
1.	General Remarks and Practice	567
2.	Situation where Third-Party Funders are Involved	569
III.	Current Developments Under ICSID and UNCITRAL	571
A.	Proposed Amendments to the ICSID Rules	571
B.	UNCITRAL Working Group III	572
IV.	Security for Costs as a Default	573
A.	Positive Effects of the Proposal	574
1.	Prevention of Frivolous Claims	574
a)	Even the best due diligence does not guarantee that only meritorious claims are brought	575
b)	Portfolio funding/Intentional risk-taking	576
c)	Non-credible funders	576
2.	Establishment of a Balance between Interests of States and Investors	577
3.	Foreseeability from the Outset	578
4.	Less Costs and Time to be Spent on Security for Costs Proceedings	578
B.	Challenges and Disadvantages of the Proposal	579
1.	Application of a “Cost Shifting” Approach	579
2.	Asymmetry in favor of Impecunious Claimants	583
3.	Establishment of a Reasonability Test	584
4.	Extent of Disclosure	587
5.	Differences in the Scope of Funding	590
V.	Concluding Remarks	591

Bernhard Wychera

Arbitrating under the Energy Charter Treaty – a Fight against Windmills?	593	
I.	Background and Development of the ECT	594
II.	Substantive Protection Provisions of the ECT	595
A.	Fair and Equitable Treatment	596
B.	Most Constant Protection and Security	597
C.	Prohibition against Unreasonable and Discriminatory Measures	597
D.	Umbrella Clause	597
E.	Expropriation	597
III.	Jurisdictional Provisions	598
A.	Dispute Resolution	598
B.	Tax Carve-out	598
IV.	The Achmea Background	599
V.	The Intra-EU Objections prior to Achmea	600
VI.	Post Achmea Decisions	601
A.	Novenergia	601

Contents

B. Masdar	604
C. Antin	605
D. Vattenfall	605
E. Foresight and Greentech	607
F. Political Declarations of EU Member States	607
G. The 2019 Decisions	607
VII. Conclusion	609
Annex	611
<i>Stephan Karall</i>	
VIAC's Annual Report – 2018	
A Review of an Eventful Year full of Changes for the	
Vienna International Arbitral Centre (“VIAC”)	613
I. Introduction	613
II. Change at VIAC Secretariat	613
III. Change of Rules: The Adaption of the VIAC Rules	614
A. Administration of Purely Domestic Cases	614
B. Further Changes of the Rules	615
IV. Statistics	616
A. Number of Cases	616
B. Parties	616
C. Nationality of Arbitrators	617
D. Gender Ratio	617
E. Costs	618
F. Duration of Proceedings	618
V. Events	618
A. 10 th Vienna Arbitration Days (VAD)	618
B. GAR LIVE Vienna 2018	619
C. VIAC-IBA Mediation and Negotiation Competition	
“CDRC Vienna 2018”	620
VI. Strengthen the Ties between China and VIAC	620
VII. Cooperation with the Energy Community Secretariat’s	
Dispute Resolution and Negotiation Centre (“The Centre”)	621
VIII. Award for VIAC	622
IX. Final Remarks	622
<i>Alfred Siwy</i>	
Recent Publications	623
Index	629